



























What was special about the Tsunami experience in Tamilnadu, India? Immediate and decisive response of Governments of India and Tamilnadu-Total political commitment to build back better * Provision of adequate financial and human resources-media exposure ensured the availability of funds not normally seen in the other disaster situations—formation of teams of experienced officers to coordinate at cluster of villages level Decentralization of financial and administrative powers with very little political interference

What was special about the Tsunami experience in Tamilnadu, India? Willingness of the state to work dosely with non state actors and to listen to their voices and concerns coordination centers, frequent interactions and corrections to policy based on feedback Coverage of all affected sections based on media and CSO feedback like widows, elderly, orphans, physically challenged and expansion of program to cover indirectly affected like the farm labour, Dalits, and other marginalized families Extending the scope of the program to cover the vulnerable houses in the coastal areas, evacuation routes/shelters and early warning systems

What was special about the Tsunami experience in Tamilnadu, India? Long term focus on children-scholarships to >125,000 school students for 10 years with special assistance from the Prime Minister and deposits to the orphaned and children who lost a parent Health Insurance coverage to 155,000 families Mandatory Insurance for all the houses for 10 years Insurance for all the assets created under the program

What was special about the Tsunami experience in Tamilnadu, India? • Respect for coastal ecology-no relaxation of coastal regulations, shelter belt and mangrove restoration and new planting-preparation of Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plan-sea change in the attitude of fishers to such initiatives • Gender focus to all the initiatives-joint ownership of houses, women self help groups, water supply and sanitation

Correctly assessing the time frame model for reconstruction and buying in the stakeholders including political leadership on other options like rent instead of temporary shelters Allotment of plots to owners before construction-not done uniformly. Participation of owners at every stage of rebuilding through labour, materials, supervision and commitment Greater linkage with other programs to provide alternative materials for construction like compressed earthen blocks Mandatory third party quality audit of construction instead of monitoring building through District Collectors and their engineers

What could have been done better? Proactive planning of hubitats and creation of infrestructure in advance with other developmental funds Monitoring the use of donor funds received by MCO's for livelihood programs and initiating a system of audit of funds in the beginning inself-MOU for livelihood programs also Creation of institutional mechanisms for improving the maintenance of assets created for the benefit of fishermen Attempts to take up issues of social relevance like alcoholism, status of women especially widows and single women

